



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/660,072	09/11/2003	Faisal M. Awada	AUS920030309US1	6181

7590 05/14/2008
Mark E. McBurney
International Business Machines Corporation
Intellectual Property Law Dept., Internal Zip 4054
11400 Burnet Road
Austin, TX 78758

EXAMINER

DEBROW, JAMES J

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2176

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-----------	---------------

05/14/2008

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/660,072	Applicant(s) AWADA ET AL.	
	Examiner JAMES J. DEBROW	Art Unit 2176	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 February 2008.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-14 and 21-26 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-14, 21-26 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

This action is responsive to communications: Amendment filed 19 Feb. 2008.

Claims 1-14, 21-26 are pending in this case. Claims 1, 8, and 21 are independent claim.

Applicant's Response

In Applicant's response dated 19 Feb. 2008, Applicant canceled claims 15-20; added new claims 21-26; argued against all objections and rejection previously set forth in previous Office Action.

Specification

The Specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. See 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP § 608.01(o). Correction of the following is required: the "*computer readable medium having [a computer program] stored thereon*" (see Claim 21, Lines 1-2). The recited "*computer readable medium*" is not mentioned in the Specification. Thus, the Specification fails to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter.

Claim Objections

Claim 14 is objected to because of the following informalities: Applicant inadvertently cited claim 14 as being canceled. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-14, 21-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Khan et al. (Patent No.: 6,460,038 B1; Filed Sep. 24, 1999) (hereinafter 'Khan'), in view of Kendall et al. (Pub. No.: US 2005/0193053 A1; Effective File Date: Apr, 5, 2002) (hereinafter "Kendall") in view of Burke (Patent No.: 6,032,162; Filed Jan. 8, 1998) further in view of Ferguson et al. (Patent No.: US 6,810,404 B1; Filed: Oct. 8, 1997) (hereinafter "Ferguson").

In regards to independent claims 1 and 21, Khan discloses *means for bookmarking a received Web document* (col. 1, lines 55-64; Khan discloses creating bookmarks to form a link to a web site so that access to the linked web site is granted upon selection of the bookmark).

Khan does not expressly disclose *means for predetermining at least one display interface format alternate to said standard display interface format for bookmarked Web documents;*

a document folder associated with each alternate display interface format, said document folder providing each Web document put into said folder with a set of predetermined characteristics and values defining said alternate display interface format;

means, responsive to said bookmarking means, for enabling a user to put a bookmarked Web document into a document folder associated with an alternate display interface format; and

means for displaying bookmarked documents in said document folder in said alternate display interface format.

Kendall teaches *means for predetermining at least one display interface format alternate to said standard display interface format for bookmarked Web documents* (0015-0018; 0036-0037; Fig. 7; Kendall teaches user selected format preferences, along with storing the format preferences for web pages in memory.).

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to combine Khan and Kendall for the benefit of storing view settings/preferences of URLs, which makes access to the page faster (0017).

Khan in view of Kendall does not expressly disclose *a document folder associated with each alternate display interface format, said document folder providing each Web document put into said folder with a set of predetermined characteristics and values defining said alternate display interface format;*

means, responsive to said bookmarking means, for enabling a user to put a bookmarked Web document into a document folder associated with an alternate display interface format; and

means for displaying bookmarked documents in said document folder in said alternate display interface format.

However, Burke teaches *means, responsive to said bookmarking means, for enabling a user to put a bookmarked Web document into a document folder associated with an alternate display interface format* (col. 3, line 56 - col. 5, line 36; Burke teaches a user interface in which categorizing bookmarks by allocation of different folders or file groups for containing bookmarks of different theme and topic.).

means for displaying bookmarked documents in said document folder in said alternate display interface format (col. 5, lines 37-52; Burke teaches displaying the bookmarks to the user. It has been established and it well known in the art that access to the bookmarked document/ web site is acquired by clicking/selecting the bookmarked URL.).

Therefore, at the time of the invention it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to combine Khan in view of Kendall with Burke for the benefit of storing and activating HTML bookmarks addresses (col. 1, lines 38-40).

Khan in view Kendall further in view of Burke does not expressly disclose a *document folder associated with each alternate display interface format, said document*

folder providing each Web document put into said folder with a set of predetermined characteristics and values defining said alternate display interface format.

However, Ferguson teaches a document folder associated with each alternate display interface format, said document folder providing each Web document put into said folder with a set of predetermined characteristics and values defining said alternate display interface format (col. 8, lines 55-65; col. 10, lines 24-29; Ferguson teaches smart folders which can be programmed with particular behavioral characteristics. Ferguson also teaches linking documents and folders based on attribute data. Using the broadest reasonable interpretation, the Examiner concludes at the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Ferguson teachings to apply particular attributes/characteristics (*alternate display interface format*) to a folder to perform on or with a bookmark stored within the folder.).

Therefore, at the time of the invention it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to combine Khan, Kendall and Burke with Ferguson for the benefit of providing folders that are associated with a category, e.g. *alternate display interface formats* (col. 7, lines 13-14).

In regards to dependent claims 2 and 22, Khan does not expressly disclose *the Web system of claim 1 further including:*

Kendall teaches *means for further bookmarking a hyperlink in a bookmarked Web document in a document folder associated with an alternate display interface format* (0037; Kendall teaches the URL may be requested by clicking on a URL in another web page, clicking on a hyperlink in an email note, or any other method known or which becomes known in the art. The concept of bookmarking a hyperlink in a bookmarked Web document in a document folder associated with an alternate display interface format has been established and it well known in the art.).

means, responsive to said means for further bookmarking, for putting the Web document accessed through further bookmarked hyperlink into the document folder associated with said alternate display interface format, wherein said further bookmarked Web document may also be displayed in said same alternate display interface format (0015-0018; 0036-0037; Fig. 7; Kendall teaches user selected format preferences, along with storing the format preferences for web pages in memory.).

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify Khan with Kendall for the benefit of storing view settings/preferences of URLs, which makes access to the page faster (0017).

In regards to dependent claims 3 and 23, Khan discloses *the Web system of claim 1 further comprising:*

Web browsing means at said receiving display station including:

said means for bookmarking a received Web document (col. 1, lines 55-64; Khan discloses creating bookmarks to form a link to a web site so that access to the linked web site is granted upon selection of the bookmark.).

Khan does not expressly disclose *said means for predetermining at least one display interface format alternate to said standard display interface format for bookmarked Web documents;*

said document folder associated with each alternate display interface format;

said means for enabling a user to put a bookmarked Web document into a document folder associated with an alternate display interface format; and

said means for displaying bookmarked documents in said document folder in said alternate display interface format.

Kendall teaches *means for predetermining at least one display interface format alternate to said standard display interface format for bookmarked Web documents (0015-0018; 0036-0037; Fig. 7; Kendall teaches user selected format preferences, along with storing the format preferences for web pages in memory.).*

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to combine Khan and Kendall for the benefit of storing view settings/preferences of URLs, which makes access to the page faster (0017).

Khan in view of Kendall does not expressly disclose *said document folder associated with each alternate display interface format*;

said means, for enabling a user to put a bookmarked Web document into a document folder associated with an alternate display interface format; and

said means for displaying bookmarked documents in said document folder in said alternate display interface format.

However, Burke teaches *said document folder associated with each alternate display interface format* (col. 5, lines 8-36; Burke teaches categorizing bookmarks by allocation of different folders or file groups for containing bookmarks of different theme and topic. Thus Burke teaches/suggests a document folder associated with each alternate display interface format.).

said means for enabling a user to put a bookmarked Web document into a document folder associated with an alternate display interface format (col. 3, line 56 - col. 5, line 36; Burke teaches a user interface in which categorizing bookmarks by allocation of different folders or file groups for containing bookmarks of different theme and topic. Thus Burke teaches/suggests a document folder associated with each alternate display interface format.).

said means for displaying bookmarked documents in said document folder in said alternate display interface format (col. 5, lines 37-52; Burke teaches displaying the bookmarks to the user. It has been established and it well known in the art that access

to the bookmarked document/ web site is acquired by clicking/selecting the bookmarked URL.).

Therefore, at the time of the invention it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to combine Khan in view of Kendall with Burke for the benefit of storing and activating HTML bookmarks addresses (col. 1, lines 38-40).

In regards to dependent claims 4 and 24, Khan does not expressly disclose *the Web system of claim 3 wherein said browsing means further comprise:*

Kendall teaches *means for further bookmarking a hyperlink in a bookmarked Web document in a document folder associated with an alternate display interface format* (0037; Kendall teaches the URL may be requested by clicking on a URL in another web page, clicking on a hyperlink in an email note, or any other method known or which becomes known in the art. The concept of bookmarking a hyperlink in a bookmarked Web document in a document folder associated with an alternate display interface format has been established and it well known in the art.).

means, responsive to said means for further bookmarking, for putting the Web document accessed through further bookmarked hyperlink into the document folder associated with said alternate display interface format, wherein said further bookmarked Web document may also be displayed in said same alternate display interface format (0015-0018; 0036-0037; Fig. 7; Kendall teaches user selected format preferences, along with storing the format preferences for web pages in memory.).

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify Khan with Kendall for the benefit of storing view settings/preferences of URLs, which makes access to the page faster (0017).

In regards to dependent claims 5 and 25, Khan does not expressly disclose *the Web system of claim 1 wherein said means for predetermining provides a plurality of said alternate display interface formats; and*
further including a document folder associated with each of said alternate display interface formats.

Kendall teaches *means for predetermining provides a plurality of said alternate display interface formats* (0015-0018; 0036-0037; Fig. 7; Kendall teaches user selected format preferences, along with storing the format preferences for web pages in memory.).

Kendall does not expressly disclose *further including a document folder associated with each of said alternate display interface formats.*

However, Burke teaches *a document folder associated with each of said alternate display interface formats* (col. 5, lines 8-36; Burke teaches categorizing bookmarks by allocation of different folders or file groups for containing bookmarks of different theme and topic. Thus Burke teaches/suggests a document folder associated with each alternate display interface format.).

Therefore, at the time of the invention it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify Khan with Kendall further in view of Burke for the benefit of storing and activating HTML bookmarks addresses (col. 1, lines 38-40).

In regards to dependent claim 6, Khan in view of Kendall does not expressly disclose *the Web system of claim 5 wherein said means for enabling a user to put a bookmarked Web document into a document folder permits the user to put the bookmarked document into a plurality of folders respectfully associated with a plurality of alternate display interface formats* (col. 3, line 56 - col. 5, line 36; Burke teaches a user interface in which categorizing bookmarks by allocation of different folders or file groups for containing bookmarks of different theme and topic. Thus Burke teaches/suggests a document folder *associated with a plurality of alternate display interface formats*.).

Therefore, at the time of the invention it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify Khan and Kendall in view of Burke for the benefit of storing and activating HTML bookmarks addresses (col. 1, lines 38-40).

In regards to dependent claims 7 and 26, Khan disclose *the Web system of claim 5 wherein said means for displaying simultaneously displays said plurality of Web documents having said alternate formats in respective separate windows* (col. 14, line 55 - col. 15, line 16; Khan discloses displaying customized web pages. Using the broadest interpretation, the examiner determines “customized” to include but not be

Art Unit: 2176

limited to *documents having said alternate formats*. It has been established and it well known in the art that by clicking on a hyperlink within a displayed Web document, the associated Web document of the hyperlink click will display, and it is typically displayed in a separate window.).

In regards to independent claim 8, Khan discloses *bookmarking a received Web document* (col. 1, lines 55-64; Khan discloses creating bookmarks to form a link to a web site so that access to the linked web site is granted upon selection of the bookmark.).

Khan does not expressly disclose *predetermining at least one display interface format alternate to said standard display interface format for bookmarked Web documents*;

providing a document folder associated with each alternate display interface format, said document folder providing each Web document put into said folder with a set of predetermined characteristics and values defining said alternate display interface format;

enabling a user to put a bookmarked Web document into a document folder associated with an alternate display interface format; and

displaying bookmarked documents in said document folder in said alternate display interface format.

Kendall teaches *predetermining at least one display interface format alternate to said standard display interface format for bookmarked Web documents* (0015-0018; 0036-0037; Fig. 7; Kendall teaches user selected format preferences, along with storing the format preferences for web pages in memory.).

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to combine Khan and Kendall for the benefit of storing view settings/preferences of URLs, which makes access to the page faster (0017).

Khan in view of Kendall does not expressly disclose *providing a document folder associated with each alternate display interface format, said document folder providing each Web document put into said folder with a set of predetermined characteristics and values defining said alternate display interface format;*

enabling a user to put a bookmarked Web document into a document folder associated with an alternate display interface format; and

displaying bookmarked documents in said document folder in said alternate display interface format.

However, Burke teaches *enabling a user to put a bookmarked Web document into a document folder associated with an alternate display interface format* (col. 3, line 56 - col. 5, line 36; Burke teaches a user interface in which categorizing bookmarks by allocation of different folders or file groups for containing bookmarks of different theme and topic.).

displaying bookmarked documents in said document folder in said alternate display interface format (col. 5, lines 37-52; Burke teaches displaying the bookmarks to the user. It has been established and it well known in the art that access to the bookmarked document/ web site is acquired by clicking/selecting the bookmarked URL.).

Therefore, at the time of the invention it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to combine Khan in view of Kendall with Burke for the benefit of storing and activating HTML bookmarks addresses (col. 1, lines 38-40).

Khan in view Kendall further in view of Burke does not expressly disclose *providing a document folder associated with each alternate display interface format, said document folder providing each Web document put into said folder with a set of predetermined characteristics and values defining said alternate display interface format.*

However, Ferguson teaches *providing a document folder associated with each alternate display interface format, said document folder providing each Web document put into said folder with a set of predetermined characteristics and values defining said alternate display interface format* (col. 8, lines 55-65; col. 10, lines 24-29; Ferguson teaches smart folders which can be programmed with particular behavioral characteristics. Ferguson also teaches linking documents and folders based on attribute data. Using the broadest reasonable interpretation, the Examiner concludes at

Art Unit: 2176

the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Ferguson teachings to apply particular attributes/characteristics (*alternate display interface format*) to a folder to perform on or with a bookmark stored within the folder.).

Therefore, at the time of the invention it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to combine Khan, Kendall and Burke with Ferguson for the benefit of providing folders that are associated with a category, e.g. *alternate display interface formats* (col. 7, lines 13-14).

In regards to dependent claim 9, Khan does not expressly disclose *the method of claim 8 further including the steps of:*

further, bookmarking a hyperlink in a bookmarked Web document in a document folder associated with an alternate display interface format; and

putting the Web document accessed through further bookmarked hyperlink into the document folder associated with said alternate display interface format, wherein said further bookmarked Web document may also be displayed in said same alternate display interface format.

However Kendall teaches *further, bookmarking a hyperlink in a bookmarked Web document in a document folder associated with an alternate display interface format* (0037; Kendall teaches the URL may be requested by clicking on a URL in another

Art Unit: 2176

web page, clicking on a hyperlink in an email note, or any other method known or which becomes known in the art. The concept of bookmarking a hyperlink in a bookmarked Web document in a document folder associated with an alternate display interface format has been established and it well known in the art.).

putting the Web document accessed through further bookmarked hyperlink into the document folder associated with said alternate display interface format, wherein said further bookmarked Web document may also be displayed in said same alternate display interface format (0015-0018; 0036-0037; Fig. 7; Kendall teaches user selected format preferences, along with storing the format preferences for web pages in memory.).

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify Khan with Kendall for the benefit of storing view settings/preferences of URLs, which makes access to the page faster (0017).

In regards to dependent claim 10, Khan discloses *the method of claim 8 further comprising: a Web browsing process at said receiving display station including said steps of:*

bookmarking a received Web document (col. 1, lines 55-64; Khan discloses creating bookmarks to form a link to a web site so that access to the linked web site is granted upon selection of the bookmark.).

Khan does not expressly disclose *predetermining at least one display interface format alternate to said standard display interface format for bookmarked Web documents;*

providing a document folder associated with each alternate display interface format;

enabling a user to put a bookmarked Web document into a document folder associated with an alternate display interface format; and

displaying bookmarked documents in said document folder in said alternate display interface format.

Kendall teaches *predetermining at least one display interface format alternate to said standard display interface format for bookmarked Web documents* (0015-0018; 0036-0037; Fig. 7; Kendall teaches user selected format preferences, along with storing the format preferences for web pages in memory.).

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to combine Khan and Kendall for the benefit of storing view settings/preferences of URLs, which makes access to the page faster (0017).

Khan in view of Kendall does not expressly disclose *providing a document folder associated with each alternate display interface format;*

enabling a user to put a bookmarked Web document into a document folder associated with an alternate display interface format; and

displaying bookmarked documents in said document folder in said alternate display interface format.

However, Burke teaches *providing a document folder associated with each alternate display interface format* (col. 5, lines 8-36; Burke teaches categorizing bookmarks by allocation of different folders or file groups for containing bookmarks of different theme and topic. Thus Burke teaches/suggests a document folder associated with each alternate display interface format.).

enabling a user to put a bookmarked Web document into a document folder associated with an alternate display interface format (col. 3, line 56 - col. 5, line 36; Burke teaches a user interface in which categorizing bookmarks by allocation of different folders or file groups for containing bookmarks of different theme and topic. Thus Burke teaches/suggests a document folder associated with each alternate display interface format.).

displaying bookmarked documents in said document folder in said alternate display interface format (col. 5, lines 37-52; Burke teaches displaying the bookmarks to the user. It has been established and it well known in the art that access to the bookmarked document/ web site is acquired by clicking/selecting the bookmarked URL.).

Therefore, at the time of the invention it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to combine Khan in view of Kendall with Burke for the benefit of storing and activating HTML bookmarks addresses (col. 1, lines 38-40).

In regards to dependent claim 11, Khan does not expressly disclose *the Web method of claim 10 wherein said Web browsing process further comprises said steps of:*

Kendall teaches *further bookmarking a hyperlink in a bookmarked Web document in a document folder associated with an alternate display interface format* (0037; Kendall teaches the URL may be requested by clicking on a URL in another web page, clicking on a hyperlink in an email note, or any other method known or which becomes known in the art. The concept of bookmarking a hyperlink in a bookmarked Web document in a document folder associated with an alternate display interface format has been established and it well known in the art.).

putting the Web document accessed through said further bookmarked hyperlink into the document folder associated with said alternate display interface format, wherein said further bookmarked Web document may also be displayed in said same alternate display interface format (0015-0018; 0036-0037; Fig. 7; Kendall teaches user selected format preferences, along with storing the format preferences for web pages in memory.).

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify Khan with Kendall for the benefit of storing view settings/preferences of URLs, which makes access to the page faster (0017).

In regards to dependent claim 12, Khan does not expressly disclose *the method of claim 8 wherein said step of predetermining provides a plurality of said alternate display interface formats; and*

said step of providing respectively provides a document folder associated with each of said alternate display interface formats.

Kendall teaches *said step predetermining provides a plurality of said alternate display interface formats* (0015-0018; 0036-0037; Fig. 7; Kendall teaches user selected format preferences, along with storing the format preferences for web pages in memory.).

Kendall does not expressly disclose *said step of providing respectively provides a document folder associated with each of said alternate display interface formats.*

However, Burke teaches *said step of providing respectively provides a document folder associated with each of said alternate display interface formats* (col. 5, lines 8-36; Burke teaches categorizing bookmarks by allocation of different folders or file groups for containing bookmarks of different theme and topic. Thus Burke teaches/suggests a document folder associated with each alternate display interface format.).

Therefore, at the time of the invention it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify Khan with Kendall further in view of Burke for the benefit of storing and activating HTML bookmarks addresses (col. 1, lines 38-40).

In regards to dependent claim 13, Khan in view of Kendall does not expressly disclose *the method of claim 12 wherein said step of enabling a user to put a bookmarked Web document into a document folder permits the user to put the bookmarked document into a plurality of folders respectfully associated with a plurality of alternate display interface formats* (col. 3, line 56 - col. 5, line 36; Burke teaches a user interface in which categorizing bookmarks by allocation of different folders or file groups for containing bookmarks of different theme and topic. Thus Burke teaches/suggests a document folder *associated with a plurality of alternate display interface formats.*).

Therefore, at the time of the invention it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify Khan and Kendall in view of Burke for the benefit of storing and activating HTML bookmarks addresses (col. 1, lines 38-40).

In regards to dependent claim 14, Khan disclose *the method of claim 12 wherein said steps of displaying simultaneously displays said plurality of Web documents having said alternate formats in respective separate windows* (col. 14, line 55 - col. 15, line 16; Khan discloses displaying customized web pages. Using the broadest interpretation, the examiner determines “customized” to include but not be limited to *documents having said alternate formats*. It has been established and it well known in the art that by clicking on a hyperlink within a displayed Web document, the associated Web document of the hyperlink click will display, and it is typically displayed in a separate window.).

NOTE

It is noted that any citations to specific, pages, columns, lines, or figures in the prior art references and any interpretation of the reference should not be considered to be limiting in any way. A reference is relevant for all it contains and may be relied upon for all that it would have reasonably suggested to one having ordinary skill in the art.

See MPEP 2123

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues *"It is a basic principle in Patent Law that a combination of references must be made with the foresight based upon a suggestions coming from the references themselves rather with hindsight based upon Applicants own teaching. The fact that Examiner has had to go to four references has an implication that Examiner has picked and combined selected elements from each of the four references without foresight coming from the references but rather with hindsight based upon Applicants' own teaching"* (Remarks p. 13-14).

The Examiner disagrees.

In response to applicant's argument that the examiner's conclusion of obviousness is based upon improper hindsight reasoning, it must be recognized that any judgment on obviousness is in a sense necessarily a reconstruction based upon

hindsight reasoning. But so long as it takes into account only knowledge which was within the level of ordinary skill at the time the claimed invention was made, and does not include knowledge gleaned only from the applicant's disclosure, such a reconstruction is proper. See *In re McLaughlin*, 443 F.2d 1392, 170 USPQ 209 (CCPA 1971).

Further, in response to applicant's argument that the examiner has combined an excessive number of references, reliance on a large number of references in a rejection does not, without more, weigh against the obviousness of the claimed invention. See *In re Gorman*, 933 F.2d 982, 18 USPQ2d 1885 (Fed. Cir. 1991).

Applicant argues "there is nothing in either Khan or Kendall to suggest to one skilled in the art that a user may select an alternate format for a received Web document by putting the received Web document into a folder which would provide the selected alternate format. Neither of these two basic references indicates any function related to folders. Applicants fail to find a mention of folders in either Kahn or Kendall for any purpose. Thus, the only suggestion that Examiner look to Burke must have come from Applicants' own teaching" (Remarks p. 16).

As can be seen within the rejection above, the Examiner does not reference Kahn or Kendall as citing any limitation concerning a "folder". In response to applicant's arguments against the references individually, one cannot show nonobviousness by attacking references individually where the rejections are based on combinations of

references. See *In re Keller*, 642 F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981); *In re Merck & Co.*, 800 F.2d 1091, 231 USPQ 375 (Fed. Cir. 1986).

Applicant argues “*Ferguson does not suggest changing the displayed format of any STG documents in a smart folder. This section sets forth that the smart folder can perform some administrative tasks with respect to the STG documents in the folder such e-mailing functions. Also included in the administrative tasks by the smart folder is the display of folder updates such as the listing of added or deleted STG documents in the folder. This has nothing to do with the display of the actual documents in the folder*” (Remarks p. 19).

As can be seen within the rejection above, the Examiner does not cite Ferguson for displaying documents in the folder. The Examiner cites Burke for this teaching. The Examiner cites Ferguson as teaching the concept of folder of a particular category with predetermined characteristics relating to the particular category.

In response to applicant's arguments against the references individually, one cannot show nonobviousness by attacking references individually where the rejections are based on combinations of references. See *In re Keller*, 642 F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981); *In re Merck & Co.*, 800 F.2d 1091, 231 USPQ 375 (Fed. Cir. 1986).

It is noted that any citations to specific, pages, columns, lines, or figures in the prior art references and any interpretation of the reference should not be considered to be limiting in any way. A reference is relevant for all it contains and may be relied upon for all that it would have reasonably suggested to one having ordinary skill in the art. See MPEP 2123.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James J. Debrow whose telephone number is 571-272-5768. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00-5:00pm.

Art Unit: 2176

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Heather Herndon can be reached on 571-272-4136. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JAMES DEBROW
EXAMINER
ART UNIT 2176

/Doug Hutton/
Doug Hutton
Supervisory Primary Examiner
Technology Center 2100